

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
United States Attorney

2 BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 JULIE D. GARCIA (CABN 288624)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7014
8 FAX: (415) 436-7234
Julie.Garcia@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 17-391-2 EMC
14 Plaintiff,)	
15 v.)	
16 NELSON DECUIRE, a/k/a "VADER," and)	STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND
17 PAUL ROBERT BIDINGER,)	[PROPOSED] ORDER
18 Defendants.)	
19)	

20 **STIPULATION**

21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the the parties to this action, as stated on the
22 record at the status conference on September 13, 2017, that the time between September 13, 2017, and
23 October 23, 2017, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and
24 (h)(7)(B). It is further stipulated, as stated on the record at the status conference on October 23, 2017,
25 the time between October 23, 2017, and November 8, 2017, also be so excluded. Excluding these
26 periods of time will allow defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
27 taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

28 //

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED: October 30, 2017

BRIAN J. STRETCH
United States Attorney

3
4 /s/
JULIE D. GARCIA
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 DATED: October 30, 2017

7 /s/
8 RANDOLPH E. DAAR
9 Counsel for Defendant
PAUL ROBERT BIDINGER

10
11 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

12 As explained on the record during the September 13, 2017, and October 23, 2017 status
13 conferences, the Court finds that the exclusion of the period from September 13, 2017, through October
14 23, 2017, and the exclusion of the period from October 23, 2017, to November 8, 2017, from the time
15 limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance
16 outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case;
17 and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny defense counsel
18 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,
19 and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 DATED: 11/6/17

